Agenda Items

1 Introductions/Approval of Minutes

Owner: Debbie Field

rogress:	
Completed	

Notes

- Approval of both March and April 2008 minutes were dismissed.
- Minutes were mailed with a return postage envelope and asked for approval by existing voting members.

2 Budget Snapshot

Owner: Laurie Hancock



Review of the April 2008 Budget snapshot.

- The budget subcommittee will have a snapshot prototype to presented to ICSA next month.
- There appears to be information on the snapshot that doesn't seem to be very useful.
- The report seems to be mixing community treatment with institutions. That information is not useful.
- The report is not defining success and it needs to do that.
- The new snapshot will have basic information on it so you will be able to talk to the group that all of you talk to and there will be backup information on it of those numbers. This can be done electronically so that you can fully understand the numbers and have them at your fingertips if you wanted them.

- The membership had the numbers in front of them.
- If there is a desire to see more specific numbers then we will proceed on getting the owners of those numbers of presenting the logistics.
- In our next Budget Sub Committee Meeting, we will be looking at a prototype of the snapshot to see what it looks like and then we will present it to ICSA.

3 2009 Budget Review and Discussion



- There is still \$2.7 million in the adolescent budget. Expenditure rate of this amount is about \$180,000.00/month. That amount may get shifted from the adolescent budget to the adult budget.
- We have the ability to shift amounts from one budget to the other based where the need is and what the usage of that budget was in our priority population.
- The priority population are looked to first as to who gets the funds.
- Although the adolescent budget is not being spent this year, it is still being funded in the upcoming year.
- All adolescents are receiving treatment that need it except those needing residential care. We need to find a lower level of care for this special population until we can place them in the specific treatment needed.

- JPO's are the level that ICSA members need to work with as far as getting certain adolescents in treatment as opposed for waiting for it. These particular adolescents have been approved and offered beds in treatment. Madam Chair requests that before the next ICSA meeting that we drill down on this particular subject and figure out how to make it more efficient.
- Carryover is only for the operating and the trustee and benefit accounts. These particular funds on a monthly basis are redirected an used for the adult population.
- FY 2008 Budget Treatment Allotment and the 2008 Drug Court Budget was presented by Bethany Gadzinski. The b.udget breakdown was gleaned from 2008 and who we provided treatment to. The \$4.74 million was for adolescents and in 2008 we broke that down into some type of level of care. In the 2008 Drug Court, the courts actually guide us as to where they want that money spent within the districts.
- In FY 2009 the budget is just about a little over \$28 million for treatment and prevention. Much the same as last year.
- The adolescent budget is different. With the new providers coming on in FY 2009 we anticipate that we will have approximately 66 beds in residential care.
- Average stay for adolescents to stay in residential care is 69 days at \$180/day. This is the formula for estimated cost per client.
- Transitional housing: As of July 1, we have our first transitional housing entity (MK Place in Pocatello). They will provide us with 12 beds. In FY 2009 we anticipate serving 118 adolescents and that is approximately 61% of the residential care. The transitional service is paid at \$130/day. A motion was made by Director Armstrong for ICSA to accept the rate of \$130/day as the new rate for transitional housing. Upholding the motion was Director Callicutt, the motion

was carried.

- Bev Ashton brought up the question if there were going to beds in each region? Bethany stated that Pharis Stanger in DHW is leading the team that is working on the plan to strategically place traditional housing in each district.
- Drug Courts are funding with the appropriation and allocations into districts. This family drug court model was done in other states and we are following it's example. Quite of bit of data needs to collected before this would be an ongoing option for our state. Anticipated case count is 65 families.
- The adult budget for FY 2009. 123 beds available for residential care. Average residential care costs for adult is \$4725.00 or by year end FY 2008 a little over \$1.6 million. Average residential stay for the adult population is 35 days.
- The prison reentry system and the non criminal misdemeanants are the two categories that we are not sure what the costs will be yet.
 DHW has asked the budget subcommittee to help them with figuring out how to handle the misdemeanant population.
- This budget is set by the marks that are needed. It is necessary to work with the correct numbers.
- Follow up is one of the pieces that the legislature and the governor wanted to know about. What happens to our post treatment data?
- There needs to be a 3,6 and 12 month post treatment follow up. We will be using a follow up GAIN tool. The follow up needs to be done with the clinician. Our goal in the follow up is a 65% rate of our population. The budget for this regarding the adolescent population at 65% is \$94,000.00 annually. For the adult population it is \$525,000.00.

- Scott Ronan made a motion of a written evaluation of the outcomes and it is presented to ICSA before the money is spent. Robin Sandy seconded the motion. It was opened up for discussion, Kathy Skippen suggested that the budget go forward as is. Motion was carried.
- Shane Evans stated that the GAIN Q 19-2524 will not be used and that IDOC will using the information that will facilitated through BPA. A motion was made by Director Reinke on the decision of the budget committee that supports IDOC in using BPA for a cost savings measure instead of screening with GAIN Q. The motion was seconded by Director Armstrong. The motion was carried.



Notes

- Each RAC is compiling information that will be specific to each area.
- The strategic plan has been posted to the web site.
- There is concern that there is a duplication of services as stated by a certain provider.

6 19-2524 and 20520l clients update

Owner: Bethany Gadzinski



Notes

- Numbers are on a handout.
- Where are we in the process of separating the substance abuse and mental health on the 19-2524's and the 20-520i's?

7 EDUL (Enforcement of Underage Drinking laws) briefing

Owner: Nancy Lopez



Notes

- The Idaho College Coalition is funded by a grant.
- E-CHUG is a program that the university (CSI) has developed.
- Sub grants are issued throughout the community. One of these resources helped create a PSA that was shown at the meeting.

8 GAIN and WITS update

Owner: John Kirsch



- Three major trainings in Idaho since last June.
- 24 local trainers who are certified.
- It was suggested not to underestimate the commitment of staff and time to make sure that this is a success.
- Electronic client management system has about 190 requirements

for the system for the program administrator.

9 Best Practice Sub Committee Report

Owner: Dr. Bill Hazle

Progress:	
Completed	•

Dr. Hazle specializes in addiction medicine.

Notes

- Dr. Hazle reports on the Best Practices Sub Committee Meeting.
- Two recommendations were suggested. One was regarding treatment. Pharmacotherapy is one of the avenues considered in treatment. Access to certain kinds of medications will help in this.
- Another form of treatment suggested was detox on the continuum of care.
- The other recommendation that came out of the meeting was education.

10 Region VII "Wood Project" report

Owner: Representative JoAnWood



- The Wood Pilot Project was presented by Rex.
- The project is a problem solving court like drug court.
- Some concerns are that there is so much growth that there is a shortage of supervisors. Population is limited to people with LSI

scores between 17 and 35.

- Every one is currently getting a GAIN I and are currently one of the Beta sites for WITS.
- Funded by a grant that is \$6.5 million.
- 11 Integrated Education/Workplace Development presentation



Click here to insert the Agenda Item Notes section